



Overview of techniques & NBT Technical Workshop outcomes

Janet Gorst

Workshop on the Regulatory Status of New Breeding Techniques
31 August 2016



- A bit of history and context
- A layman's look at the techniques involved
- The outcomes of the two FSANZ workshops on NBTs


Standard 1.5.2/Schedule 26 - Food produced using gene technology




GM food approvals by FSA NZ – to date all clearly produced by transgenesis and all plants

What is transgenesis?

Generalised gene structure



A construct = gene(s) artificially assembled together in the lab



The construct is incorporated somewhere into the genome of the host – via Agrobacterium or biolistics

What is not food produced using gene technology?

Conventional breeding

Traditional cross-breeding

Combining two sexually compatible species to create a variety with the desired traits of the parents



The Honeycrisp Apple gets its famous texture and flavor by blending the traits of its parents.



Induced mutagenesis

Use of mutagens such as radioactivity to induce random mutations, creating the desired trait



Radiation was used to produce a deeper color in the red grapefruit.



SHANGRI-LA TOWERS
ATOMIC INDUSTRIES



Atomically Energized

STAR RUBY
PINK GRAPEFRUIT


The mutant variety Star Ruby was officially approved in 1970. It was developed by treatment with thermal neutrons (thN). Main improved attributes of mutant variety are red flesh like parent variety, but almost seedless (0-9 seeds instead of 40-60).

2011: first rumblings about new techniques

JRC Scientific and Technical Reports

New plant breeding techniques State-of-the-art and prospects for commercial development

Maria Lusser, Claudia Parisi,
Damien Plan and Emilio Rodríguez-Cerezo



EUR 24760 EN - 2011




JRC Scientific and Technical Reports

Comparative regulatory approaches for new plant breeding techniques

Workshop Proceedings

Maria Lusser and Emilio Rodríguez Cerezo




EUR 25237 EN - 2012



Are/should these NBTs be covered by current GM regulations?

2012: the momentum picks up


- Foods produced using a number of NPBTs [which became NBTs] were close to commercialisation
- FSANZ had received a number of enquiries in relation to some new NBTs
 - are they captured by the GM food Standard (Standard 1.5.2 Food produced using gene technology)?
- The Office of the Gene Technology Regulator – which issues licences for the growing of GM crops in Australia - had also received enquiries about NBTs
- Other countries began looking at the extent to which new breeding techniques were captured by their GM food legislation.



FSANZ Workshops on NBTs

- FSANZ hosted two technical workshops to discuss various new breeding techniques with a panel of invited experts in the fields of plant breeding and plant biotechnology.
- The aims of the workshops were to:
 - Improve FSANZ's understanding and knowledge of certain new plant breeding techniques.
 - Provide advice to FSANZ on whether there are safety concerns with food derived using certain new plant breeding techniques.
 - Provide a scientific opinion on whether derived food products should be regarded as GM food.

The workshops were held on 11 May 2012 and 6 August 2013



New Plant Breeding Techniques
A Workshop hosted by Food Standards Australia New Zealand
Friday 11th May 2012, The Brasse, Canberra

..'regulators are struggling..'

14 APRIL 2016 | VOL 532 | NATURE | 147

Breeding controls

Scientists must help to inform regulators wrestling with how to handle the next generation of genetically engineered crops.

On 6 April, activists gathered in Paris to protest against an emerging class of genetically altered crops. Regulators often classify these as the product of 'new breeding techniques' (NBTs) that are sometimes distinct from classical — and historically controversial — genetically modified (GM) varieties. But some protesters, such as those who joined the Friends of the Earth demonstration in Paris last week, are unconvinced by that argument. They call the new plants 'hidden GMOs'.

Around the world, regulators are struggling to decide how to adapt the existing rules for transgenic technology to plant varieties that have been engineered using cutting-edge methods (see page 158). Many have found that their classical regulatory triggers rely on definitions of 'transgenic' or 'genetically modified organism' (GMO) that no longer apply. And they are questioning whether some NBT crops need to be regulated at all.

"There is room for a healthy debate as to how these crops are regulated."

THE CONVERSATION

Academic rigour, journalistic flair

Arts + Culture Business + Economy Education **Environment + Energy** Health + Medicine Politics + Society Science + Technology



All our food is 'genetically modified' in some way – where do you draw the line?

April 4, 2016 11:47pm AEST



“We shouldn't forget that there are also other promising novel... breeding technologies, post-GM, and we shouldn't make the mistake of regulating them to death as we have done with GM.” – Prof. Anne Glover (2013): [then] Chief Scientific Adviser to the President of the European Commission



There is strong evidence that the current regulatory regime does not adequately assess the safety of GMOs, particularly their long-term health impacts⁴⁶. However, the current regulatory approach to GMOs should be the minimum requirement for these new GM techniques

<http://emergingtech.foe.org.au/resources/gm-2-0-australian-regulators-engineering-the-truth/>

What are these New Breeding Techniques?

- Agro-infiltration
- Cisgenesis/Intragenesis
- GM Rootstock Grafting
- RNA interference/RNA-directed DNA methylation

- Transgenic assisted
breeding**
- Speed breeding following early flowering
 - Reverse Breeding
 - Seed Production Technology (SPT) – a proprietary technique developed by Pioneer Hi-Bred


- Gene editing**
- Site-directed nucleases – ZFN, TALEN, CRISPR/Cas9
 - Oligo-directed mutagenesis (ODM)

Agro-infiltration

- Plant tissues are infiltrated with a liquid containing *Agrobacterium* with a desired gene
- If gene is transiently expressed in somatic cells, plant is non-GM
- If gene is incorporated into germ cells, the resulting seeds will be GM





vivoXPRESS® Manufacturing Platform




Cisgenesis and Intragenesis

Techniques are equivalent to transgenesis – involve using recombinant DNA techniques to introduce DNA into an undefined new site in the genome

Generalised gene structure



The inserted gene, associated introns, and regulatory elements are contiguous and unchanged



The inserted gene may have the introns removed, the regulatory elements (promoter and terminator) may come from different sources


GM Rootstock Grafting

- Non-GM scion grafted on transgenic rootstock = a composite plant that is transgenic
- The scion and the rootstock share a single vascular system
- The non-GM scion/fruit will not contain any transgenic DNA, BUT it may contain traces of GM material (RNA or protein) and/or have altered characteristics as a result of the modification to the rootstock

Non-GM Scion

Graft union

GM Rootstock





Two-way movement of plant solutes and macromolecules

RNA interference/RNA-directed DNA methylation

Transgenic plant is generated using an inverted repeat that, when transcribed, results in the formation of dsRNA.


RNAi

- Targets RNA of a specified gene and leads to gene silencing.
- Requires the RNAi construct to be stably inherited.



RdDM

- Targets (promoter) sequence and leads to methylation that then inhibits transcription of the gene.
- Intention is that the RdMD construct be segregated away but the methylation pattern/gene silencing is inherited. This is known as an **epigenetic** modification (i.e. a heritable change without alteration to the gene sequence).



What are these New Breeding Techniques?

- Agro-infiltration
- Cisgenesis/Intragenesis
- GM Rootstock Grafting
- RNA interference/RNA-directed DNA methylation

Transgenic assisted breeding**



- Speed breeding following early flowering
- Reverse Breeding
- Seed Production Technology (SPT) – a proprietary technique developed by Pioneer Hi-Bred

Gene editing**

- Site-directed nucleases – ZFN, TALEN, CRISPR/Cas9
- Oligo-directed mutagenesis (ODM)

Transgenic assisted breeding (transient transgenics)


- These techniques have in common a transgenic stage the outcome of which will confer a trait facilitating the conventional breeding that then follows e.g. induction of early flowering, reverse breeding, Pioneer SPT.
- In all cases, once the introduced genes have done their job, and are no longer needed they are segregated away during the subsequent conventional breeding stages.
- The final product which bears the food contains no evidence of the genetic material that was initially introduced. It is referred to as a **null segregant** and is several generations removed from the transgenic progenitor.



Pioneer SPT corn is already being grown in the USA



Field showing commercial corn hybrids produced using the SPT process. It is a non-invasive process that leaves corn plants undamaged during hybrid seed production.



Plant Biotechnology
Journal

aab
Association of Applied Biologists

SEB
Society for Experimental Biology

Plant Biotechnology Journal (2016) 14, pp. 1046–1054

doi: 10.1111/pbi.12477

Development of a novel recessive genetic male sterility system for hybrid seed production in maize and other cross-pollinating crops

Yongzhong Wu[†], Tim W. Fox, Mary R. Trimmell, Lijuan Wang, Rui-ji Xu[‡], A. Mark Cigan, Gary A. Huffman[§], Carl W. Garnaat[§], Howard Hershey and Marc C. Albertsen*

DuPont Pioneer, Johnston, IA, USA

What are these New Breeding Techniques?

- Agro-infiltration
- Cisgenesis/Intragenesis
- GM Rootstock Grafting
- RNA interference/RNA-directed DNA methylation

Transgenic assisted breeding**

- Speed breeding following early flowering
- Reverse Breeding
- Seed Production Technology (SPT) – a proprietary technique developed by Pioneer Hi-Bred


Gene editing**

- Site-directed nucleases – ZFN, TALEN, CRISPR/Cas9
- Oligo-directed mutagenesis (ODM)

Site directed nucleases (SDNs)

There are two key components to each SDN:

- A DNA recognition code (protein-based or RNA) that finds a specific site in the genome
- A nuclease (protein) that cuts the double stranded DNA at that specific site



These components can be added to the host :

- directly as the proteins or RNA
- via a transgenic step

If by transgenesis, once the component genes have done their job, the idea is that they are segregated away during subsequent breeding.

Site directed nucleases (SDNs)


There are three classes of SDNs

- Zinc-finger nuclease (ZFN) – uses an engineered zinc finger protein + nuclease dimer (Fok1)
- Transcription activator-like effector nuclease (TALEN) – uses a designer TALE protein + nuclease dimer (Fok1)
- Clustered, regularly interspaced, short palindromic repeats (CRISPR) – uses a guide RNA + nuclease (Cas9)

Method	Recognition	Cleavage
ZFN	ZFN Protein-DNA	Fok1
TALEN	TALE Protein-DNA	Fok1
CRISPR	CRISPR RNA-DNA	Cas9

Site directed nucleases (SDNs)

There are three ways in which each SDN technique can be used



Site directed nucleases (SDNs)

SDN food products are already non-regulated in the USA

1. TALEN (SDN-1, gene disruption, null segregant) wheat

USDA clears mildew-resistant biotech wheat

Published on March 15, 2016 12:08PM
Last changed on March 16, 2016 9:29AM



MLO_KO Wheat has improved disease resistance to powdery mildew attributable to the knockout of the Mildew Resistance Locus gene achieved through transient expression of a Transcription Activator-Like Effector Nuclease (TALEN®).

2. CRISPR/Cas9 (SDN-1, gene deletion, null segregant) waxy corn

April 18, 2016, JOHNSTON, Iowa – DuPont Pioneer today announced waxy corn hybrids as its first commercial agricultural product developed through the application of CRISPR-Cas enabled advanced breeding technology. This next generation of elite waxy corn hybrids is expected to be available to U.S. growers within five years, pending field trials and regulatory reviews.


The United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) recently **(USDA) recently published its response to Pioneer's "Regulated Article Letter of Inquiry"** stating that it does not consider next-generation waxy corn developed with CRISPR-Cas enabled advanced breeding technology as regulated by USDA Biotechnology Regulatory Services.



..and we can't forget that agricultural animals are in the wings

Generation of gene-modified goats targeting *MSTN* and *FGF5* via zygote injection of CRISPR/Cas9 system

SCIENTIFIC REPORTS | 5:13878 | DOI: 10.1038/srep13878



Targeted gene knockout in chickens mediated by TALENs. *PNAS* 2014 111 (35) 12716-12721

Transgenic Res (2015) 24:147–153
DOI 10.1007/s11248-014-9832-x

ORIGINAL PAPER


Genome edited sheep and cattle



Oligonucleotide-directed mutagenesis (ODM)

The chemically synthesised oligonucleotide (20 – 100 bp) has homology with the target gene except for the nucleotide(s) to be changed.

- oligonucleotides are added directly and hence do not involve a transgenic stage
- oligonucleotides themselves are not “heritable material”
- oligonucleotides act like a mutagen, but are sequence specific
- the obtained mutations cannot be distinguished from spontaneous mutations (natural variation) or mutations induced by classical mutagenesis



ODM product already commercially available

Cibus Announces Approval of First Commercial Product SU Canola™ in Canada




San Diego (March 18, 2014) — Cibus, a cutting-edge precision gene editing firm, announced today that its first commercial product SU Canola (Sulfonylurea Tolerant) has received Plant Novel Trait (PNT) approval by the Canadian Food Inspection Agency (CFIA) and Health Canada (HC). With this regulatory approval, Cibus is permitted to fully commercialize this product in Canada. Cibus SU Canola offers Canadian farmers a new alternative for weed control that will provide Canadian farmers with sound stewardship options to deal with weed resistance resulting from overuse of current herbicide tolerant GM varieties.

Cibus, a San Diego-based company, produces plants with new traits using a gene editing platform. For instance, the company's SU Canola™ is a non-transgenic sulfonylurea herbicide tolerant canola available in the United States. The USDA regards Cibus' technology to be a modern form of mutagenesis, which should not be regulated by US agencies.



ISB NEWS REPORT AUGUST / SEPTEMBER 2015

Our website - <http://www.foodstandards.gov.au>



Workshop Reports

<http://www.foodstandards.gov.au/consumer/gmfood/Pages/New-plant-breeding-techniques-in-the-spotlight.aspx>

The screenshot shows the Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) website. The header includes the FSANZ logo and navigation links: Media centre, Publications, Careers, Useful links, Contact us, and a Search Site box. Below the header is a main navigation bar with links: Food Standards Code, Industry, Consumer, Our science, and About us. The breadcrumb trail indicates the path: Home > Consumer > Genetically modified foods. On the left is a sidebar menu with links: Additives, Chemicals in food, Food allergies and intolerances, Food safety and recalls, Food technologies and novel foods, Food issues, Genetically modified foods (highlighted), Imported foods, Labelling, and Nutrition and fortification. The main content area is titled 'Genetically modified foods' and contains the following text: 'All genetically modified (GM) foods intended for sale in Australia and New Zealand must undergo a [safety assessment](#) by Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ). FSANZ will not approve a GM food unless it is safe to eat. Read more about [GM foods](#).' Below this text are several section headers: 'Applications and current status', 'General information about GM foods', 'New plant breeding techniques workshops' (circled in red), 'Response to studies cited as evidence of adverse effects from GM foods', and 'Safety assessment of GM foods'.

FOOD STANDARDS
Australia New Zealand
Te Mana Kounga Kai – Ahitereiria me Aotearoa

Media centre | Publications | Careers | Useful links | Contact us | Search Site

Food Standards Code | Industry | Consumer | Our science | About us

Home > Consumer > Genetically modified foods

Genetically modified foods

All genetically modified (GM) foods intended for sale in Australia and New Zealand must undergo a [safety assessment](#) by Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ). FSANZ will not approve a GM food unless it is safe to eat. Read more about [GM foods](#).

Applications and current status

General information about GM foods

New plant breeding techniques workshops

Response to studies cited as evidence of adverse effects from GM foods


Safety assessment of GM foods

Additives
Chemicals in food
Food allergies and intolerances
Food safety and recalls
Food technologies and novel foods
Food issues
Genetically modified foods
Imported foods
Labelling
Nutrition and fortification

FSANZ Workshops on NBTs

- FSANZ hosted two technical workshops to discuss various new breeding techniques with a panel of invited experts in the fields of plant breeding and plant biotechnology.
- The aims of the workshops were to:
 - Improve FSANZ's understanding and knowledge of certain new plant breeding techniques.
 - Provide advice to FSANZ on whether there are safety concerns with food derived using certain new plant breeding techniques.
 - Provide a scientific opinion on whether derived food products should be regarded as GM food.

The workshops were held on 11 May 2012 and 6 August 2013



New Plant Breeding Techniques
A Workshop hosted by Food Standards Australia New Zealand
Friday 11th May 2012, The Brasse, Canberra

Conclusions from FSANZ Workshops on NBTs

Agro-infiltration

- Limited applicability to food; any food products will be purified proteins
- Depends on use and whether the plants from which they are derived are considered to be a GMO – a matter of interpretation

Cisgenesis/Intragenesis

- No distinction between cisgenesis, intragenesis and transgenesis

GM rootstock grafting

- The grafted plant is a single organism and is therefore GMO
- The food may contain novel RNA and/or protein and may also have altered composition or other characteristics

Transgenic-assisted breeding

- Where null segregants are the final food producing lines, these are comparable to those developed using conventional plant breeding techniques

Gene editing techniques – SDN & ODM

- Small nucleotide changes - final food producing lines comparable to those developed using conventional mutagenic techniques
- Added gene(s) remaining or gene replacement – equivalent to transgenesis



Copyright

FSANZ 25th birthday cake - August 2016

© Food Standards Australia New Zealand 2016.

This work is copyright. You may download, display, print and reproduce this material in unaltered form only (retaining this notice) for your personal, non-commercial use or use within your organisation. Apart from any other use as permitted under the Copyright Act 1968, all other rights are reserved. Requests for further authorisation should be directed to info@foodstandards.gov.au